IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH AT HYDERABAD

HON'BLE Mr. JUSTICE R. SUBHASH REDDY

Writ Petition No.19052 of 2007

Dated: December 10, 2007

Between:

Smt. K. Baby Shalini, W/o. Sri K. Srinivas,
Aged about 18 years, Occ: Student,
R/o. Indira Nagar, Nacharam, and another.

… Petitioners

And

The Government of Andhra Pradesh,
Rep. by Secretary (Home), A.P. Secretariat,
Hyderabad, and others.

… Respondents

Order:

This writ petition has been filed seeking Mandamus to declare the action of respondents 1 to 3 in not providing Police protection for their peaceful living as illegal and arbitrary.

2. Petitioners claim to have got married on 27-08-2007 in Arya Samaj Mandir, Secunderabad. In the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition, it is stated that fourth respondent herein, who is the father

of the first petitioner, is opposed to their marriage, as he wanted to marry his daughter with a man of his choice. It is alleged that he is interfering with their marital life. The grievance of the petitioners is that though they complained to respondents 1 to 3 about the interference of the fourth respondent with their marital life, no action has been taken.

3. This court, by order dated 07-09-2007 issued notice before admission. On receipt of notice, fourth respondent has appeared before this court on 28-09-2007 and stated that he is, in no manner, interfering with the life and liberty of the petitioners herein and the second petitioner had come forward to get the first petitioner admitted into the hostel to allow her to prosecute her education.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that after the fourth respondent has appeared before this court on 28-09-2007, he is not interfering with the life and liberty of the petitioners.

5. As much as fourth respondent has appeared before this court on 28-09-2007 and stated that he is not interfering with the life and liberty of the petitioners and also in view of the statement of the learned counsel for the petitioners that there is no interference by the fourth respondent with the life of the petitioners, the writ petition is disposed of placing on record the statement of the fourth respondent before this court, on 28-09-2007. However, it is open for the petitioners to lodge a complaint before the third respondent, Station House Officer, Nacharam P.S., if there is any threat. If any such complaint is filed, third respondent shall consider the same and provide possible protection. No order as to costs.

JUSTICE R. SUBHASH REDDY.

December 10, 2007
MRR